ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಧಾನಸಭೆ

KARNATAKA LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Tuesday, the 28th June 1977/Ashada 7, 1899 S.E.

The House met in the Assembly Chamber, Vidhana Soudha, at One of the Clock.

[MADAM SPEAKER (SMT. K. S. NAGARATHNAMMA) in the Chair]

STARRED QUESTIONS
(To which Oral Answers were given)

Muzrai Institutions Taking over by Wakfs Board

196. SRI ABDUL KHUDDUS ANWAR(Bhadravathi).–Will the Minister for University Education and Wakfs be pleased to state.—

(*a*) the number of Muzari Institutions taken over by the Wakfs Board; the total revenue of such institutions (District-wise figures may be given);

(*b*) the total assets and liabilities of all the Muzrai institutions handed over to the Wakfs Board (Details of every institution in each district may be furnished);

(*c*) the total amount handed over from Muziai to Wakfs in the State ?

SRI S.M. YAHYA (Minister for University Education and Wakfs).

(*a*) 449 institutions have been taken over so far by the Wakfs Board.

Statement showing the total revenue of each institution handed over to the Board is placed on the Table of the House.**

(*b*) Total assets are estimated roughly at Rs. 3 crores. There are no liabilities. A statement showing the details of assets of every institution in each district is placed on the Table of the House.**

(*c*) Rs. 9,24,867.57.

** Copies placed in the Karnataka Legislature Library *vide* No. 32, dated 28th June 1977.

SRI ABDUL KHUDDUS ANWAR —Thank you for the long list. Will these lands which you have taken over come under the purview of the Land Tribunals ?

SRI S. M. YAHYA.—We have taken over some of these institutions which have lands If there are agricultural lands and if there are tenants certainly, tenants rights should be protected. But only compensation should be given to the institution. The compensation will be double the amount fixed.

SRI ABDUL KHUDDUS ANWAR.—In the list there is one Jamaith-Sha-Makhan at Bhadravathi. You have shown that it owns 140 acres and 30 guntas of land. This figure may not be correct. Will you please verify once again ?

SRI S. M. YAHYA.—The lands which the institution is having are given. There may be tenants also. I will verify once again.

SRI ABDUL KHUDDUS ANWAR.—What about the institutions like Durwesh Baba property and other institutions ?

SRI S. M. YAHYA.—Durwesh Baba property is entirely different matter. It is not under Muzrai. The question is about the Muzrai institutions taken over by the Government. These are the institutions which were under the Muzrai Department. The Government have taken an Extra ordinary and historic decision to give away all these institutions to the Wakfs Board

SRI ABDUL KHUDDUS ANWAR.—In your reply it is stated that Rs. 9,24,867-57 has been handed over to the Wakfs Board. Have they received the entire amount from Muzrai Department ?

SRI S. M YAHYA.—So far we have received Rs. 9 lakhs and odd. Further amount is yet to be looked into. Some of these institutions are in far away places from Taluk headquarters. After the retirement of a liasion officer. there is no officer. In that place we will appoint one more officer to expedite these things.

SRI AZEEZ SAIT.—Will the Minister tell me as to why the Receiving Officer has not yet changed inspite of the fact that these institutions have been transferred from Muzrai to Wakf Board. Even today, the Muzrai Commissioner can only draw the Tasdik and not the Wakfs Board ?

SRI S. M. YAHYA.—Recently we have made changes. In some cases the Wakfs Board is receiving the cheques. But in respect of some institutions there are some problems.

SRI AZEEZ SAIT.—Certain grants have to be drawn by the Muzrai Commissioner only. After these properties are transfered to the Wakfs Board, even today, the drawing authority is the Muzrai Commissioner but not anybody belonging to the Wakfs Board.

SRI S. M. YAHYA.—It is true in some cases. Because there are certain litigations we could not take over these institutions. May be it may be due to that fact.

SRI AZEEZ SAIT.—I could give you some specific cases. For example-Tippu Estate The drawing officer for these grants are even today, the Muzrai Commissioner. In other words the Cabinet decision has not yet been fully implemented, by your Revenue Department. Will you kindly look into this and take proper action to see that the Cabinet decision is fully implemented ?

SRI S. M. YAHYA.—So far as Tippu Estate is concerned, I know there are certain difficulties. Anyhow, whatever possible we will do it.

SRI ABDUL KHUDDUS ANWAR.—Why are the two Dargas in Kolar-Darga Hayat and Baba Khalandar Darga-not taken over ?

SRI S. M. YAHYA.—In the case of Darga Hayat, the matter went to the High Court. The Muthavalli preferred a writ petition and the High Court has decided it is a WAKF institution. In the other one also, I am told it is under litigation.

Promoting Indian System of Medicine and Homoeopathy

203. SRI K. R. SRINIVASULU NAIDU (Shantinagar).—Will the Minister for Health be pleased to state.—

(*a*) whether it has come to the notice of the Government that the Central Government is interested in developing Indian System of Medicine and Homeopathy ;

(*b*) the plans and programmes drawn for the development of Ayurveda and Homeopathy in the State ?

SRI H M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Health).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) An out lay of Rs. 150 lakhs was proposed for the various developmental programmes of the Department of Indian Systems of Medicine and Homoeopathy, However the allocation has been revised to Rs. 106 lakhs for Fifth Plan.